UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/535,344   | 10/03/2005  | Ryota Odake          | 09792909-6256       | 7176             |
| 26263 7590 08/04/2008<br>SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| P.O. BOX 061080<br>WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER       |             |                      | LEE, SEUNG H        |                  |
| CHICAGO, IL  |             | STOWER               | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2887                |                  |
|  |             |                      |                     |                  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 08/04/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | 10/535,344  | ODAKE ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   | Seung H. Lee  | 2887  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Oct</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E   | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro  |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and policinate and polici | relection requirement.<br>r.<br>epted or b)□ objected to by the B   |   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/05,7/08.   | 4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:   | ite   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/535,344 Page 2

Art Unit: 2887

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pollock et al. (GB 2275654)(hereinafter referred to as 'Pollock') in view of Ando (JP 2003076967).

Pollock teaches a smart card comprising a display (120), an interface unit (160), and an energy store (150) for receiving external power (see fig. 1; page 3, line 23-page 5, line 19).

However, Pollock fails to particularly teach that the smart card comprising an electric double-layer capacitor for providing power to components of the smart card.

Ando teaches a non-contact IC card having an electric double layer capacitor to store power from the electromagnetic waves from the host system to operate the IC card (see figs. 1 and 2; computer translated detailed description).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Ando to the teachings of Pollock in order to operate the smart card using the stored power in the electric double-layer capacitor when the power supply is interrupted.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Morizumi et al. (US 6459588) teaches a noncontact IC card.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seung H. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 AM- 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven S. Paik can be reached on (571) 272-2404. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Seung H Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887 Application/Control Number: 10/535,344 Page 4

Art Unit: 2887

Seung.lee@uspto.gov